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## Identify strengths and areas for improvement in the design document

Clear, professional font, and fun, transitions as required in the requirement document.

Could maybe do with more content/pictures on either side of webpages as they are quite bare when accessed on wider screens. This could be alleviated by moving navbar to one side of the screen as a side panel, which would shift back to the top for smaller screens. The shop and activities pages could be improved with, for example, photo gallery, etc.

## Identify strengths and areas for improvement in the development process

Sections were created with the intent to copy and paste for other sections that have similar styles and layout to efficiently modify with required content.

Layout CSS styles and naming consistencies of class and id assignments requires more thought and time to improve easier maintenance and editing, especially for a person unfamiliar with the project. Could separate by different pages to easily locate the correct selectors.

Should think about how the layout translates from wireframe design to HTML/CSS as there were numerous cases of mistakes made attempting to arrange the content to the desired layout.

## Identify strengths and areas for improvement in the application produced.

Simple, user-friendly design allows for ease of use and easy to follow layout.

The website may not display correctly with especially small screens. It also take screen orientation into consideration and may not display correctly on screens with smaller heights.

## Evaluate how well it meets the requirements of the original brief.

I believe the website meets the requirements from the brief. It is fun, but professional, easy to use due to its simplistic design, and should work on modern browsers. The website promotes the shop and café, as well as the activities. It advertises the location from the embedded map, shows the opening times, and some of the products and services available.

## Identify action points to improve the entire process for future applications development.

* Should have a clearer picture of the design to reduce time needed to code it.
* There were several cases of needing to rethink the wireframe design to fit the resulting code.
* Spend less time on perfecting the code to fit the design.

## Critically self-evaluate your own performance throughout the whole process.

The HTML parts were easy enough to implement. However, I need to practice and understand flexbox for future projects as it took considerably more time to figure out implementing the desired position of the HTML elements.

CSS layout may be a bit complex and could be split into parts per website page.

I think I could have taken a bit more time to add some content such as a transition of the image depending where you are on the page as per an original wireframe design.